Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6320 Case file # 95-246470.

Material Examiner Malone

Remarks:

maepenaent Kevie	w conducted	d by: Steve Rober	tson	_		
Area(s) of Expertis	se: Hair a	nd Fiber		_		
Review commence	ed at: 4:0	OO PM (Time),	09/16/99	(Dat	e)	
File #: 95-246	470	-				
Laboratory #(s):	11119042		_	21013	3041	
·	11130112	11130112				
	20707034		-			
		Examine	(s) & Symbol	s		
,	Revie	wed Not Reviewed	l		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	x	5			۵	٥
QW		x			Ö	Ö
MW		x			а	0
		Materia	als Reviewed			
Trial testimony tran	nscript(s) of:		als Reviewed			
Trial testimony tran Testimony			als Reviewed Pages:			
Testimony	/ Date(s):					
Testimony	/ Date(s): -):		Pages:	Date:	Jan 21, 1982	
Testimony aboratory Report(s)	/ Date(s):): Number:	-	Pages:	Date:	Jan 21, 1982 Sep 27, 1982	 ,
Testimony aboratory Report(s) Laboratory	Date(s):): Number: Number:	11130112, 1111904	Pages:	_		
Testimony aboratory Report(s) Laboratory Laboratory Laboratory	/ Date(s):): Number: Number:	11130112, 1111904 20707034	Pages:	Date:	Sep 27, 1982	
Testimony aboratory Report(s) Laboratory Laboratory Laboratory	/ Date(s):): Number: Number: Number:	11130112, 1111904 20707034 21013041	Pages:	Date:	Sep 27, 1982	— . — .
Testimony aboratory Report(s) Laboratory Laboratory Laboratory Examiner Bench No	/ Date(s):): Number: Number: Number:	11130112, 1111904 20707034 21013041 RQ and unknown te	Pages:	Date:	Sep 27, 1982	
Testimony aboratory Report(s) Laboratory Laboratory Laboratory Examiner Bench No	/ Date(s):): Number: Number: Number:	11130112, 1111904 20707034 21013041 RQ and unknown te 11130112, 1111904	Pages:	Date:	Sep 27, 1982	— ·
aboratory Report(s) Laboratory Laboratory Laboratory Examiner Bench No	/ Date(s):): Number: Number: Number:	11130112, 1111904 20707034 21013041 RQ and unknown te 11130112, 1111904 20707034	Pages:	Date:	Sep 27, 1982 Nov 16, 1982	

. • ...

If yes, please id	entify and/or describe the material: Submi	tting agency lett	ers dated	Nov 18, 1981 and
Nov 27, 1981			,	
		_		
	Results of R	eview		
File #: 95-24	16470 Item or Specimen #	Reviewed:	Q1-Q7, Q	20-Q21, Q24, Q33, Q36-
Q42, K3-K4, K6-	-K9	_		
<u> </u>		/\ 1B 1	NT. 4	
	Review of Laboratory Report			<u> </u>
	Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab			nses
	examiner perform the appropriate tests in a sc			
mediods	, protocols, and analytic techniques available a		e origina ble to De	
	. □ Yes	□ No w Una	ble to De	termine
	☐ Yes examination results set forth in the laboratory	□ No E Una report(s) support	ble to De	termine lequately documented in
2) Are the e	□ Yes examination results set forth in the laboratory of the hotes? □ Yes	□ No E Una report(s) support E No □ Una	ble to De	termine lequately documented in
2) Are the c	□ Yes examination results set forth in the laboratory in the labo	□ No w Una report(s) support w No □ Una imony:	ble to De	termine lequately documented in
2) Are the c	□ Yes examination results set forth in the laboratory of the hotes? □ Yes	□ No	ed and ac ble to De	termine Iequately documented in termine
2) Are the e	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory in notes? Review of Testi	□ No	ed and ac ble to De	termine Iequately documented in termine
?) Are the	Personal Preservation results set forth in the laboratory of h notes? Review of Testion Note: Numbered comments are readditional pages for any "No" or "Unab	□ No	ed and ac ble to De	termine Iequately documented in termine
2) Are the the bence	Personal Preservation results set forth in the laboratory of h notes? Review of Testion Note: Numbered comments are readditional pages for any "No" or "Unab	□ No	ed and ac ble to De	termine Iequately documented in termine
Transcript not Testimony co	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory in notes? Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unab	□ No ☑ Una report(s) support ☑ No □ Una imony: required below only to Determine	ed and ac ble to De or on e" Respo	termine Iequately documented in termine
Transcript not Testimony co	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory of hotes? Review of Testion Note: Numbered comments are readditional pages for any "No" or "Unabavailable. ensistent with the laboratory report(s)?	□ No ☑ Una report(s) support ☑ No □ Una imony: required below on the to Determine	ed and act ble to De or on e" Respo	dequately documented in termine
Transcript not Testimony co	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory of h notes? Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unable available. ensistent with the laboratory report(s)?	□ No	ed and act ble to De or on a No	dequately documented in termine Unable to Determine
Transcript not Testimony co	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory of h notes? Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unable available. ensistent with the laboratory report(s)?	□ No	ed and act ble to De or on a No	dequately documented in termine Unable to Determine
Transcript not Testimony co	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory of h notes? Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unable available. ensistent with the laboratory report(s)?	□ No	ed and act ble to De or on a No	dequately documented in termine Unable to Determine
Transcript not Testimony co	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory of h notes? Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unable available. ensistent with the laboratory report(s)?	□ No	ed and act ble to De or on a No	dequately documented in termine Unable to Determine
Transcript not Testimony co	Pyes examination results set forth in the laboratory of h notes? Review of Testi Note: Numbered comments are r additional pages for any "No" or "Unable available. ensistent with the laboratory report(s)?	eport(s) support No Una meport(s) support No Una imony: equired below of the to Determine Yes Yes	ed and act ble to De or on a No	dequately documented in termine Unable to Determine

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #:	95-246470		<i>.</i> 			
#1. There	is insufficient de	ocumentation to	determine if th	e hair comparison wa	ıs performed in a	a scientifically
acceptable	manner.					
#2. The re	sults are not ade	quately documer	ated in the note	es. The notes are not o	dated, are in pen	cil and are not
initialled b	y the technician	(s). Some hair w	ere deemed ut	suitable for comparis	on with no reaso	on or explanation
given. K6	and K7 samples	are incorrectly r	narked K4 and	K5 by the technician	a. There are som	e erasures in the
notes. The	examiner did no	ot initial some of	his notes. Son	ne abbreviations are t	used that are diff	icult to interpret.
The exa	aminer did not re	port that the Q7	pubic hair ma	tching the suspect wa	s forcibly remov	ed, an important
characteris	stic that shows fo	orce was involve	d. He also did	not report the present	e of an unidenti	fied pubic hair on
Q20 (towe	:1).					
-						
Review co	mpleted at:	5:00 PM	(Time),	09 / 16 / 99	(Date)	
Total time hour):	spent conductin	g review (to ncar	rest 1/4	1 hour		
		cted this review is report consistin		ent, unbiased manner 3 pages.	and that the rest	ults of my review
			Him	Robert		9-16-99
				(Signature)		(Date)

Page 3 of 3
Initials: Sur